16.1 ESCC Highways comments on access to Sunningdale, Policy 2

From: Chris Stanyard [mailto:Chris.Stanyard@eastsussex.gov.uk]

Sent: 02 June 2014 11:54

To: 'Jonathan Vine-Hall'; Kirsten Williamson

Cc: 'Graham Fifield'; Parish Council - Sedlescombe; Ben Lenton

Subject: RE: Sedlescombe neighbourhood Plan

Dear Jonathan.

Apologies for the delay.

Please find attached a plan showing the area owned by highways as requested in point 3 of your e-mail.

In terms of the other questions, I will address them in a similar order as they have been raised:

- 1. I can confirm that the access width and visibility splays from Gregory Walk onto the B2244 are acceptable.
- 2. Gregory Walk is acceptable in terms of width. The absolute minimum acceptable width is 4.1m and it would appear that the majority of Gregory Walk is over 4.5m.
- 3. Please see above. Also the width of this stub is approximately 6m and could easily be modified to serve additional dwellings. However a footway would need to be introduced into the site.
- 4. Yes. The access into the Sawmills site would require significant improvement in visibility terms. Given the fast stretch of road, subject to National Speed Limit, (and in the absence of any actual speed survey results) visibility would need to be in the region of 2.4m x 215m in either direction. This, as you would appreciate, would require a realignment of the existing hedge in order to achieve this in a southern direction which maybe contrary to Rother District Council Planning Policy.

I trust this sets out my position, however, if you require any further information/clarification please do not hesitate to contact me. The above comments are for guidance only and shall not prejudice any further comments East Sussex County Council wishes to make. They should however be regarded as highway requirements that would need to be satisfactorily met as part of any formal proposal.

Kind regards,

Chris Stanyard

